UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

United States of America

v.

24 Cv. 6299 (RA)

Starley Pena,

23 Cr. 375 (RA)

Defendant.

Order re: Attorney-Client Privilege Waiver (Informed Consent)

WHEREAS petitioner Starley Pena (the "Petitioner") has filed a petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 based on allegations of ineffective assistance of counsel (*see* Dkt. No. 23); and

WHEREAS the Government, after reviewing the petition, has concluded that the testimony of the petitioner's former counsel, Robert Alexander Osuna, of the Law Firm of Robert Osuna, PC ("Former Counsel") will be needed in order to allow the Government to respond to the petition; and

WHEREAS the Court, after reviewing the petition, is satisfied that the testimony of Former Counsel is needed in order to allow the Government to respond to the petition; and

WHEREAS by making the petition, the petitioner has waived the attorney-client privilege as a matter of law, *see Rudaj v. United States*, No. 11 Civ. 1782 (DLC), 2011 WL 2610544, at *3 (S.D.N.Y. June 13, 2011); and

WHEREAS the Court is cognizant that, absent court order or informed consent, ethical concerns may inhibit Former Counsel from disclosing confidential information relating to a prior client even in the absence of a privilege, see, e.g., ABA Standing Comm. on Ethics and Prof. Responsibility Formal Op. 10-456 (July 14, 2010), Disclosure of Information to Prosecutor When Lawyer's Former Client Brings Ineffective Assistance of Counsel Claim;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Petitioner shall execute and file within twenty-

one days from the date of this Order the accompanying "Attorney-Client Privilege Waiver

(Informed Consent)" form; and it is further

ORDERED that, within twenty-one days from the date that the executed "Attorney-Client

Privilege Waiver (Informed Consent)" is filed, Former Counsel shall give sworn testimony, in the

form of a declaration, addressing the allegations of ineffective assistance of counsel made by the

petitioner; and it is further

ORDERED that, within thirty days from the date on which Former Counsel's

declaration has been filed, the Government shall file an answer or other pleadings in response to

the Petitioner. The Petitioner shall have thirty days from the date on which he is served with

the Government's answer to file a response. Absent further order, the petition will be considered

fully submitted as of that date.

The Clerk of Court is respectfully directed to mail a copy of this Order and the attached

waiver form to Mr. Pena.

Dated:

HONORABLE RONNIE ABRAMS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

October 29, 2024

New York, New York

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
United States of America	
v.	
Starley Pena,	
Defendant.	

Attorney-Client Privilege Waiver (Informed Consent)

23 Cr. 375 (RA)

To: Starley Pena

You have filed a petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 on the ground of ineffective assistance of counsel by your former counsel, Robert Alexander Osuna, Esq., of the Law Firm of Robert Osuna, P.C. (see Dkt. No. 23). The Court has reviewed your papers and determined that the Court needs to have a sworn testimonial statement from your former attorneys in order to evaluate your petition.

By filing this petition, you have waived the attorney-client privilege you had with your former counsel to the extent relevant to determining your claims. This means that, if you wish to press your claims of ineffective assistance, you cannot keep the communications between yourself and your former attorneys a secret — You must allow them to be disclosed to the Government and to the Court pursuant to court order. The Court already has issued an Order ordering your former counsel to give such testimony, in the form of a declaration. This Informed Consent form is designed to ensure that you fully understand and agree to this.

Specifically, if you wish to proceed with your petition on the basis that you received ineffective assistance of counsel, you must sign this statement and return it to the Court. The form constitutes your authorization to your former counsel to disclose confidential communications (1) only in response to a court order and (2) only to the extent necessary to shed light on the allegations of ineffective assistance of counsel that are raised by your motion.

You should know that, if you sign this authorization, you run the risk that your former counsel will contradict your statements about their representation of you. However, you should also know that the Court may deny your motion if you do not authorize your former counsel to give an affidavit in response to the Court's attached Order.

You must return this form with your signature within twenty-one days from the date on which you are served with this Order. If the Court does not receive this form with your signature within that time, the Court may deny your motion.

AUTHORIZATION

I have read the Court's Order dated October 29, 2024 and this document headed Attorney-Client Privilege Waiver (Informed Consent). I hereby authorize my former counsel, Robert Alexander Osuna, Esq., of the Law Firm of Robert Osuna, P.C., to comply with the Court's Order by giving testimony, in the form ordered by the Court, relating to my petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 on the ground of ineffective assistance of counsel. This authorization allows my former attorneys to testify only pursuant to court order, and only to the extent necessary to shed light on the allegations of ineffective assistance of counsel that are raised by my motion.

	Dated:	
Starley Pena		